

IAP2 Cascade Chapter Community Capacity grant rubric

Number:

Grant Applicant:



Please note: Scores from grant rubric will be used to initiate and inform grant committee’s conversation. Final funding recommendation will be made based on committee scores, additional equity considerations (see below) and conversation.

Score each category on a scale of 1-5, where:

1	2	3	4	5
Weak (fails to meet criteria)	marginal (vaguely meets criteria)	adequate / good (meets criteria)	very good (strongly meets criteria)	exceptional (exceeds criteria)

Criteria	Questions to Consider	Score
Values assessment 40%	Does the narrative make a clear case for how the project will practice one or more of IAP2’s core values? 1. Public participation is based on the belief that those who are affected by a decision have a right to be involved in the decision-making process. 2. Public participation includes the promise that the public’s contribution will influence the decision. 3. Public participation promotes sustainable decisions by recognizing and communicating the needs and interests of all participants, including decision makers. 4. Public participation seeks out and facilitates the involvement of those potentially affected by or interested in a decision. 5. Public participation seeks input from participants in designing how they participate. 6. Public participation provides participants with the information they need to participate in a meaningful way. 7. Public participation communicates to participants how their input affected the decision.	_____ (0-5 pts) x 4 = _____
	Comments –	
Mutuality 20%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the project directly have a mutual benefit for all parties involved? Does the project involve and engage community members as active participants, not just as an audience? Does the project provide opportunities for people to contribute to their community in meaningful and significant ways? 	_____ (0-5 pts) x 2 = _____
	Comments – how does or doesn’t the project meet the criteria?	
Project Background 20%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are the budget and timeline realistic and reasonable? Are all aspects of the project well thought out and clear? 	_____ (0-5 pts) x 2 = _____

IAP2 Cascade Chapter Community Capacity grant rubric

Number:

Grant Applicant:



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the applicant have the necessary resources (personnel, past experience, skills) to implement the project? Does the project draw on the strengths of team members and/or partners? • Does the narrative clearly describe a plan for promoting the project that is sufficient to reach the target audience? 	
--	--	--

Comments – how does or doesn't the project meet the criteria?

<p>Leadership voice 20%</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the project advance the leadership or voice of historically under-represented or under-served communities?* • Is the project led directly by the communities benefiting from the project or impacted by the issues the project addresses? <p><i>**Historically under-represented or under-served communities include communities of color, immigrants and refugees, low-income people, people with disabilities, LGBTQIA+ people, and renters.</i></p>	<p>_____ (0-5 pts) x 2 = _____</p>
---	--	--

Comments – how does or doesn't the project meet the criteria?

TOTAL SCORE	
--------------------	--

Additional equity considerations:

1. Does the project contribute to regional project diversity?
2. Does the project contribute to a diverse project engagement pool?
3. Does the project contribute to a variety of policy/focus areas?
4. Does the project introduce new partners to the IAP2 organization?

Initial Funding Recommendation:

Fund Do Not Fund

Additional Comments (positive aspects of the proposal or areas for improvement):